



Reference: 249614

July 4, 2019

**VIA EMAIL: [mayor.mclaughlin@lionsbay.ca](mailto:mayor.mclaughlin@lionsbay.ca)**

Ron McLaughlin, Mayor  
The Municipality of the Village of Lions Bay  
PO Box 141, 400 Centre Road  
Lions Bay, British Columbia  
V0N 2E0

Dear Mayor McLaughlin,

Thank you for reaching out to the Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (FLNRORD) Regional Economic Operations Branch regarding the eligibility of the Village of Lions Bay to apply for funding through the Rural Dividend Program (the Program). As the Assistant Deputy Minister responsible for the administration of the Program, I am pleased to respond to your inquiry.

As noted in previous Ministry correspondence, a review of the Program was conducted by an independent third party. In response to the review findings, our Ministry has made updates to key components of the Program. While the criteria that eligible communities must have a population of less than 25,000 and be located outside of the Metro Vancouver and Capital Regional Districts has not changed, the Program will consider requests for exceptions on a case-by-case basis.

Maintaining the rural focus of the Program and continuing to meet the needs of small and remote communities, are key considerations in the evaluation of requests for exceptions to the eligibility criteria. During the evaluation of exception requests, the Program examines (1) community proximity and access to large urban centres, including highway linkages and access to transportation; (2) the economic integration of a community with large urban centres, including the commuting rates of residents; and (3) the economic need of the community.

Based on the above criteria, it was determined that the Village of Lions Bay does not qualify for an exception to the Program's eligibility criteria. As a result, the Village of Lions Bay is not eligible to apply for funding through the Program.

Page 1 of 2

Please note that FLNRORD Regional Economic Operations staff are available to provide support for the development of economic opportunities in the Village of Lions Bay, including the identification of other funding sources. We strongly encourage you to continue to connect with Wendy Koh, the Regional Manager for your area, by phone at 604-317-7562 or by email at [wendy.koh@gov.bc.ca](mailto:wendy.koh@gov.bc.ca).

Again, thank you for writing and sharing your concerns.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S Fraser', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Sarah Fraser  
A/Assistant Deputy Minister  
Rural Opportunities, Tenures and Engineering  
Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development

pc: Peter DeJong  
Chief Administrative Officer  
The Municipality of the Village of Lions Bay

**From:** [Ron McLaughlin](#)  
**To:** [Agenda](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: M Gewurz petition re removal from UCB  
**Date:** Monday, July 5, 2021 1:36:24 PM

---

Hi Karla, for the on-table correspondence please.

**Ron McLaughlin**

*Mayor*

### **The Village of Lions Bay**

PO Box 141, 400 Centre Road, Lions Bay, BC V0N 2E0, Canada

Tel: (604) 921-9333 | Cell: (604) 353-7138 | [www.lionsbay.ca](http://www.lionsbay.ca)

This email is intended only for the persons addressed and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you received this email in error, it'd be appreciated if you'd notify the sender and delete it. Statements and opinions herein are made by their authors in a personal capacity, and are not binding on the Municipality of the Village of Lions Bay ("Municipality") until contracted. This email is the property of the Municipality and may not be reproduced or further disseminated in whole or part without the Municipality's consent. It may be exempt from disclosure under the British Columbia Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and other freedom of information or privacy legislation, and no admissible disclosure of this email can be made without the consent of the Municipality.

---

**From:** Monica [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 5, 2021 12:19 PM  
**To:** Ron McLaughlin <mayor.mclaughlin@lionsbay.ca>  
**Subject:** FW: M Gewurz petition re removal from UCB

Somehow your email bounced ? fyi

---

**From:** mgdesigns [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** July 5, 2021 11:30 AM  
**To:** [mayor.maclaughlin@lionsbay.ca](mailto:mayor.maclaughlin@lionsbay.ca); [councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca); [councillor.bain@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.bain@lionsbay.ca); [councillor.barmeier@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.barmeier@lionsbay.ca); [councillor.cunliffe@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.cunliffe@lionsbay.ca)  
**Subject:** M Gewurz petition re removal from UCB

Dear Mayor and Council,

I understand that there is a council meeting tomorrow night regarding the petition to have Lions Bay removed from the Urban Containment Boundary (UCB).

I have written to you in the past to have Lions Bay removed, however since time is of the essence I decided to write again to reiterate my support for a unanimous vote to have Lions Bay to be removed from the UCB.

The UCB restrictions are adequate and make sense in a high density environment like Metro Vancouver, Burnaby, Surrey...but not for Lions Bay. We are surrounded by mature natural forests and vegetation that take up the CO2 produced by any burning appliances. In addition, the village is located in an open area where ocean and mountain winds dissipate any smoke in the air...we are NOT metro Vancouver and therefore we should not fall in that category.

I read all the documents and I do not see any benefit being part of the UCB. One of the reasons the former Lions Bay council voted to be part of the UCB was to increase density...and potentially increase tax revenues...well In 2009 did an OCP where a limit was placed in development and densities therefore our vision is not to increase density nor to cut down the forest, not only because it will deface our community to have more buildings side by side but also because we do not have the water and infrastructure to support both a higher density nor an increased population.

I moved to Lions Bay from Montreal in 1997 to escape the urban area and to live a rural lifestyle without having to have a second property i.e. cottage. One of the reasons we bought the property we live in is because it had an amazing view of the ocean, a large fireplace and mature trees buffering noise and neighbouring houses. I enjoy being surrounded by a natural forest, seeing wildlife, and been able to use both an efficient wood stove and a fireplace as both heat sources and for the aesthetics it has i.e. sitting by a fireplace on a cold and dreary winter evening. During COVID when we were locked down they were one of the few things that we had that connected us with nature and gave us that cozy feeling.

I want to remain in this community and be able to use my fireplace- if that is taken away I will consider moving to a nearby community like Bowen or Squamish where it is allowed.

Please vote for the removal of Lions Bay from the UCB.

Sincerely,

Monica Gewurz



*"The principle of true art is not to portray, but to evoke."*

*— Jerzy Kosinski*

**Monica Gewurz**



**From:** [Ron McLaughlin](#)  
**To:** [Agenda](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: UCB and Wood Burning  
**Date:** Monday, July 5, 2021 1:39:28 PM

---

Hi Karla, another on table correspondence item.hsan

**Ron McLaughlin**

*Mayor*

**The Village of Lions Bay**

PO Box 141, 400 Centre Road, Lions Bay, BC V0N 2E0, Canada

Tel: (604) 921-9333 | Cell: (604) 353-7138 | [www.lionsbay.ca](http://www.lionsbay.ca)

This email is intended only for the persons addressed and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you received this email in error, it'd be appreciated if you'd notify the sender and delete it. Statements and opinions herein are made by their authors in a personal capacity, and are not binding on the Municipality of the Village of Lions Bay ("Municipality") until contracted. This email is the property of the Municipality and may not be reproduced or further disseminated in whole or part without the Municipality's consent. It may be exempt from disclosure under the British Columbia Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and other freedom of information or privacy legislation, and no admissible disclosure of this email can be made without the consent of the Municipality.

---

**From:** Ehsan T. Monfared [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 5, 2021 11:28 AM  
**To:** Ron McLaughlin <mayor.mclaughlin@lionsbay.ca>  
**Subject:** FW: UCB and Wood Burning

Correction: Mayor McLaughlin!

I had a typo in your name and email address.

Ehsan

---

**From:** Ehsan T. Monfared  
**Sent:** July 5, 2021 11:27 AM  
**To:** mayor.mclaughlin@lionsbay.ca; councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca; councillor.bain@lionsbay.ca; councillor.barmeier@lionsbay.ca; councillor.cunliffe@lionsbay.ca  
**Subject:** UCB and Wood Burning

Dear Mayor MacLaughlin and Councillors.

I'll try and keep my comments short.

One of the reasons why we've enjoyed living in Lions Bay, is the rural setting so close to an urban center. Part and parcel with this lifestyle and experience is the ability to have a fire in our fireplace.

I am led to believe that purely as a result of being within the UCB, we're prohibited from burning

wood inside our fireplace until September 15<sup>th</sup>. Furthermore, based on my research, I understand that additional requirements are coming into force as of September 15, 2025 which will entirely prohibit us from having a fire in our fireplace, but for undergoing a costly upgrade.

While I appreciate the need to pursue environmental efforts, the implementation of these initiatives and scant details for compliance leaves much to be desired. When we think about the marginal impact that compliance from a tiny, shoreline-based community will actually have in the broader effort to reduce greenhouse and particulate emissions in the Metro Vancouver area, I have to wonder if it's worth the friction, given our community's unique situation in Metro Vancouver. I suspect that given the wording of the by-law, there will also be an argument/claim of expropriation to be brought against local municipalities, including the Village of Lions Bay, which could cost the municipality in the order of Millions – this is one of the reasons “grandfathering” exists.

Frankly it is also unclear what benefits we as a community are deriving from being in the UCB. For a community that is still largely using septic tanks for waste water treatment, I think it's a bit much for Metro Vancouver to want to incorporate us into their efforts to reduce regional particulate and greenhouse emissions, when we don't benefit from any of their urban service infrastructure.

As a voter, and community member, I hope you will take my concerns seriously and factor them into your decision making process. Seldom, if ever, has the imposition of compliance measures on the general population actually resulted in effective compliance.

The better approach may be to get buy-in from community members, discuss why we need to do this and how we can work together to achieve these goals. This way, instead of taking time to draft emails and leave voicemails expressing opposition to these initiatives, we'd be able to know what we're getting ourselves into and do so wholeheartedly to avert the climate disaster that our world is currently on track to experience. As it stands now, the prohibition, and costs and uncertainty to achieve compliance, make this unacceptable policy for me to support.

Thanks for your time.

Ehsan



**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Neville Abbott](#)  
**Cc:** [Agenda](#); [Fred Bain](#); [Norman Barmeier](#); [Jaime Cunliffe](#); [mayor.maclaughlin@lionsbay.ca](mailto:mayor.maclaughlin@lionsbay.ca)  
**Subject:** Re: Presentation of UCB Petition to Council  
**Date:** Monday, July 5, 2021 10:51:45 AM

---

Dear Neville,

Thank you for your email and especially for the heads-up about the Metro delegation at Tuesday's meeting. There is a chance I may not be able to attend, because I will likely be in a location without much cell service or wi-fi, but I will do my best.

I would like to emphasise that although this may have started off as a woodburning issue in 2019, it has now become clear that it is about something much larger than just woodburning. Petitioners see themselves as living an inherently rural lifestyle, with all its benefits: the peace and tranquility, living close to nature and sitting by a fire on a cold and dreary winter night. These things all constitute an essential part of our village life.

Moreover, what particularly struck me, as I corresponded with residents on this topic, was the strength of feelings that are present in our Village around this issue. The various rituals that attend wood burning seem to represent a cherished leitmotif that weaves its way through the celebration of the rural nature of our community.

We have a few more signatures on the petition, and I'm confident this groundswell of resident support will continue to grow in the fall once we return from our summer vacations.

Marcus

On Thu, Jul 1, 2021 at 11:52 AM Neville Abbott <[councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca)> wrote:

Hi Marcus,

I would like to thank you for your 2-minutes of public participation and the presentation of this petition, signed by 202 residents, at the last council meeting.

As you may be aware it was decided later in the meeting to request the CAO of Metro Vancouver to come speak to us on the matter. It has been confirmed this will happen at the Tuesday July 05, Regular Council Meeting. The agenda and a power point presentation will be available tomorrow afternoon on the Village website and to all those who are on the Village Update mailing list.

Regards,

**Neville Abbott**

*Councillor*

**The Village of Lions Bay**

Cell: (604) 363-2667

---

**From:** marcus reuter [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** June 15, 2021 7:18 PM  
**To:** Neville Abbott <[councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.abbott@lionsbay.ca)>; Fred Bain <[councillor.bain@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.bain@lionsbay.ca)>; Norman Barmeier <[councillor.barmeier@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.barmeier@lionsbay.ca)>; Jaime Cunliffe <[councillor.cunliffe@lionsbay.ca](mailto:councillor.cunliffe@lionsbay.ca)>; [mayor.maclaughlin@lionsbay.ca](mailto:mayor.maclaughlin@lionsbay.ca)  
**Subject:** Presentation of UCB Petition to Council

Dear Mayor and Councillors,

Attached please find copies of my presentation to Council this evening, as well as the Petition and signatures to the Petition.

Marcus Reuter  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [Ron McLaughlin](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED] [Agenda](#)  
**Cc:** [Jaime Cunliffe](#); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Elizabeth Hodgson and the Kelvin Grove berm  
**Date:** Monday, July 5, 2021 2:07:48 PM

---

Hi John. It was a pleasure to speak with you for a few minutes.

I asked if I could place your email into general correspondence in tomorrow evening's Council meeting. Thank you for letting me do so. This will facilitate all of Council and staff considering the remedies needed.

Regards and keep well,

PS - Belated happy special birthday.

Karla - please place in the on-table correspondence please.

**Ron McLaughlin**

*Mayor*

**The Village of Lions Bay**

PO Box 141, 400 Centre Road, Lions Bay, BC V0N 2E0, Canada  
Tel: (604) 921-9333 | Cell: (604) 353-7138 | [www.lionsbay.ca](http://www.lionsbay.ca)

This email is intended only for the persons addressed and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you received this email in error, it'd be appreciated if you'd notify the sender and delete it. Statements and opinions herein are made by their authors in a personal capacity, and are not binding on the Municipality of the Village of Lions Bay ("Municipality") until contracted. This email is the property of the Municipality and may not be reproduced or further disseminated in whole or part without the Municipality's consent. It may be exempt from disclosure under the British Columbia Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and other freedom of information or privacy legislation, and no admissible disclosure of this email can be made without the consent of the Municipality.

---

**From:** John Phillips [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 5, 2021 11:22 AM  
**To:** Ron McLaughlin <mayor.mclaughlin@lionsbay.ca>  
**Cc:** Jaime Cunliffe <jaimecunliffe@gmail.com>; Diane Phillips [REDACTED]; J-Wreglesworth [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Elizabeth Hodgson and the Kelvin Grove berm

Hello Ron

As you know Elizabeth Hodgson is very ill and has not been able to maintain the berm below the highway in Kelvin Grove for some time.

It is starting to dry out and we would like to get some watering going. Neighbours are willing to help and I have

been trying to get things organized. I have located the hoses on the berm but we may need more.  
We can access water from a neighbour right there - Neil and Huroyo - who are moving to Powell River today but their daughter and family will continue living there.

Elizabeth had trouble in the past with watering hours and the Bylaw officer used to limit what she could do.

I wonder if you can help us sort this out?

Perhaps the Bylaw officer could be asked not to police watering there - we will be responsible and observe water restrictions but there is of course no number on the berm and regular watering hours may not suit the volunteers doing the watering.

Secondly I wonder if the Works yard could take some responsibility for maintenance as required - even help with watering in some way if it becomes much drier - It is one of the more beautiful garden areas of Lions Bay and has been enjoyed by us all and even inspired others with their gardens. As I say, neighbours are willing to help.

We would appreciate your help in this situation. Elizabeth did such a good job for so many years.

Thanks

John Phillips





File: ORCS 10285-20/DSC VQO

May 25, 2021

**VIA EMAIL**

Dear Stakeholder:

The Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District (SCNRD) of the Ministry of Forests, Lands Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development is seeking your input on an upcoming administrative decision for a draft Ministerial Order to update existing scenic areas. The proposal is to update the Visual Quality Objectives (VQO's), through Sections 7(1) and 7(2) of the Government Actions Regulation (GAR) for the Forest and Range Practices Act (FRPA).

Scenic landscapes are important to all of us. The provincial Visual Resource Management Program helps manage the rate and distribution of forestry activities on the landscape to meet the scenic-quality expectations of the public, first nations, tourism, and the forest industry. The purpose of the order is to update the existing visual landscape inventory and Visual Quality Objectives in the Sunshine Coast District which includes portions of your operational area(s).

VQOs describe levels of visual alteration appropriate for landscapes based on their visual sensitivity. Once the sensitivity of a landscape has been assessed, a VQO is established to guide forest management activities. VQOs are established at the local level by the resource District Manager in consultation with First Nations, License holders, stakeholders, and the public. As the existing forest landscape data is approximately 20 years old, this update seeks to align the visual data and objectives with current viewing activity and expectations.

The following link gives you access to the FTP website which contains information and maps showing the current Established Visual Quality Objectives (EVQO) and the Recommended Visual Quality Classification (RVQC). RVQC's go through a Government Actions Regulation (GAR) process to become legal or EVQO's. An important part of the GAR process is engagement with stakeholders.

[https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/dsc/external/!publish/2020\\_DSC\\_VQO\\_GAR/](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/dsc/external/!publish/2020_DSC_VQO_GAR/)

The Draft Visual Quality Objectives are based on the results of a Visual Landscape Inventory Analysis, preliminary referrals with First Nations and License holders, and a Timber Supply Impact Analysis. Further consideration through ongoing consultation with First Nations, Stakeholders, and the Public is required prior to the District Manager's decision to assign a final VQO.

In addition to the information provided at the above link, the Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District is pleased to offer three online information sessions that will provide a background of Visual Management and the GAR Order process and make available Visual Resource Specialists to answer questions. The sessions are arranged geographically as follows:

- Session 1 – Wednesday, June 2nd, 2021, 7pm – Discovery Islands, Bute and Toba Inlet  
Register in advance for this meeting:  
<https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJEsf-GgqzWjGdz8KGSuTyofEcEtOw4r9KPL>
- Session 2 – Thursday, June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2021 7pm – Upper Sunshine Coast, Texada Island, and Jervis Inlet  
Register in advance for this meeting:  
[https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJIqc-itqD0tHNJ6YPhSj8MLTfuHBjFPq\\_QK](https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJIqc-itqD0tHNJ6YPhSj8MLTfuHBjFPq_QK)
- Session 3 – Tuesday, June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2021, 7pm – Lower Sunshine Coast, Gambier, and Nelson Islands  
Register in advance for this meeting:  
<https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJcqce-vrzkjH9Ujf8QG0gQeKMVeIjHwvuF>

We appreciate that this GAR Order may have an impact on your establishment and hope you will take the time to comment. Please review the information package and maps provided and submit any comments or concerns in writing to [FTA.DSC@gov.bc.ca](mailto:FTA.DSC@gov.bc.ca) using the “VQO Feedback Form” provided on the FTA site no later than August 20, 2021.

The District asks that you review this information with your members or constituents that may be impacted by this decision and collect their feedback which you can then provide to the District.

In the interim should you have any questions please contact Andrea Rietman at 604 413-0151 or by email at, [Andrea.Rietman@gov.bc.ca](mailto:Andrea.Rietman@gov.bc.ca) to discuss the visual landscape review.

Yours truly,



Derek Lefler, RPF  
District Manager  
Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District

Attachments: *SCNRD VQO GAR Order Background.pdf*



# Background Information Regarding the Visual Quality Objective Update in the Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District

## **Background of VQOs in the Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District**

Visual Quality Management is one of the [Forest and Range Practices Act](#) (FRPA) Values that government may set legal objectives for and that is required to be managed for by forest tenure holders on provincial forest land. Visual Quality Objectives (VQOs) are legally established through Government Action Regulation (GAR) Orders. The VQOs assign categories of visual alteration (retention, partial retention, etc.) which are determined through a process that starts with a Visual Landscape Inventory (VLI) which provides Recommended Visual Quality Classes (RVQC's) that are then legally established through a GAR Order process.

The Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District (SCNRD) recognizes that land use has changed within the Sunshine Coast, with increased focus on tourism and recreational use. The Sunshine Coast is largely accessed by water and with over 40 marine parks and reserves it attracts visitors from around the world. Outdoor recreation and tourism are important components to the local and provincial economy and tourism operators market the scenery as one of the key ingredients that makes their product unique and attractive. The importance and increased tourism use within the Sunshine Coast is the driving mechanism updating the VQOs.

## **The Visual Landscape Inventory (VLI)**

Development of Visual Quality Objectives (VQOs) is initiated by a [Visual Landscape Inventory](#) (VLI) and the previous Visual Landscape Inventory (VLI) for the Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District (SCNRD) was conducted in 1991 with updates made up until 1999.

From 2012 to 2014 the SCNRD contracted an independent Visual Resource Specialist to conduct an updated VLI from Howe Sound in the south, to Toba and Bute Inlets in the north, and west to Texada.

The VLI assesses visible areas at the landform level based on specific criteria to assign them a Visual Sensitivity Class (VSC). Section 9.2 of the [Forest Planning and Practices Regulations](#) (FPPR) establishes what alteration category is required for each VSC. For example, if a VLI conducted on a scenic landform results in a VSC of 3, the VQO must be in either the partial

retention or modification category. 'Categories of visually altered forest landscape' are also defined under the FPPR Section 1.1.

The VLI provides a set of Recommended Visual Quality Classes (RVQC's) which recommend which alteration category is likely to result on the best outcome based on the professional advice of the person conducting the inventory.

Categories of visually altered forest landscapes were developed through extensive research that conducted public [perception studies](#) of various intensities of alterations on different landforms. Further information regarding the inventory process can be found in the [VLI Procedures & Standards Manual](#).

### **The GAR Order Process**

The Forest & Range Practices Act allows for the creation of [Government Actions Regulations](#) (GAR) in the form of legal Orders. This is the method through which the B.C. provincial government establishes land designations or stewardship measures for some forest and range values.

Establishment of Scenic Areas and VQOs are authorized under the Government Action Regulation Sec. 7 and there are 4 tests that must be met prior to a District Manager signing an order to establish, modify or cancel VQOs. These tests are:

1. Is special management required?
2. Is the order consistent with (other) established objectives?
3. Would the proposed action unduly reduce the supply of timber from BC's forests?
4. Do public benefits from the action outweigh any material adverse impact on delivered wood costs and any undue constraint on the ability of a forest agreement holder to exercise their rights?

In addition, the GAR process requires that holders of agreements under the Forest Act that may be affected by the order be provided with an opportunity for review and comment. There are also obligations to consult with affected First Nations and to conduct a review and comment period with the public and stakeholders, including, recreation groups and commercial tourism operators.

When deciding on the VQO changes under the new GAR Order, the District Manager must consider the RVQCs provided by the VLI, consultation with First Nations, potential effects on timber supply, and comments received from tenure holders, stakeholders, and the public.

Currently the SCNRD is initiating a Targeted Stakeholder Review and Comment Period. Once this period closes, all the input received will be considered and put through the checks and balances of the legal requirements of the GAR Order Process. A summary of the process and considerations taken will be provided with the final GAR Order which will be posted on the following site:

[Government Actions Regulation - Province of British Columbia](#)

When discussing Visual Management, it is important to keep in mind that it is only one of eleven [FRPA values](#) established by government and is managed from a visual landform

perspective. VQOs are not intended to create areas that are reserved from timber harvesting, rather they have the objective of considering what an area should look like from significant viewpoints and establishing that as a requirement for forestry practices.

The following link will give you access to an FTP website which contains:

1. Maps of the Existing Visual Quality Objectives (*EVQO SCNRD North Jan17 2019.pdf* and *EVQO SCNRD South Jan17 2019.pdf*)
2. Maps of the Draft Visual Quality Objectives (*Draft SCNRD Visual Quality Objectives North.pdf* and *Draft SCNRD Visual Quality Objectives South.pdf*)
3. Maps of the Draft VQOs with private land parcels masked (*RVQC SCNRD North Private Parcels Masked.pdf* and *RVQC SCNRD South Private Parcels Masked.pdf*)
4. The Draft of the VQO Order (*draft SCNRD VQO Order.docx*)
5. Shapefiles of the Draft VQOs (*DSC\_VLI\_under\_review\_most\_recent.gdb.zip*)
6. Information Pamphlets (*vrm\_a\_guide\_to\_visual\_quality\_objectives.pdf* and *vrm\_managing\_change.pdf*)
7. A VQO Feedback Form (*VQO Feedback Form.docx*)
8. A copy of this background document (*SCNRD VQO GAR Order Background.pdf*)

[https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/dsc/external!/publish/2020\\_DSC\\_VQO\\_GAR/](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/dsc/external!/publish/2020_DSC_VQO_GAR/)

In addition to the information provided on the FTP site, the Sunshine Coast Natural Resource District is pleased to offer three online information sessions that will provide further background information regarding Visual Management and the GAR Order process. These sessions will review what Visual Resource Management means, what the SCNRD is doing about Visual Quality, how stakeholders can provide feedback, and a discussion period with Visual Resource Specialists who can answer questions. The sessions are arranged geographically as follows:

- **Session 1 – Discovery Islands, Bute and Toba Inlet**  
**Date: Wednesday, June 2nd, 2021, 7pm**  
Register in advance for this meeting:  
<https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJEsf-GgqzWjGdz8KGSuTyofEcEtOw4r9KPL>
- **Session 2 – Upper Sunshine Coast, Texada Island, and Jervis Inlet**  
**Date: Thursday, June 3rd, 2021 7pm**  
Register in advance for this meeting:  
[https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJIqc-itqD0tHNJ6YPhSj8MLTfuHBjFPq\\_QK](https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJIqc-itqD0tHNJ6YPhSj8MLTfuHBjFPq_QK)
- **Session 3 – Lower Sunshine Coast, Gambier, and Nelson Islands**  
**Date: Tuesday, June 8th, 2021, 7pm**  
Register in advance for this meeting:  
<https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJcqce-vrzkjH9Ujf8QG0gQeKMVeIjHwvuF>

The SCNRD appreciates that this GAR Order may have an impact on your establishment and hopes that you will take the time to comment. Please review the information package and maps provided and submit any comments or concerns in writing to [FTP.DSC@gov.bc.ca](mailto:FTP.DSC@gov.bc.ca) using the “VQO Feedback Form” provided on the FTP site no later than August 20, 2021.

## **List of Links:**

Forest and Range Practices Act

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/laws-policies-standards-guidance/legislation-regulation/forest-range-practices-act>

Visual Landscape Inventory (VLI)

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/industry/forestry/managing-our-forest-resources/visual-resource-management/visual-landscape-inventory>

Forest Planning and Practices Regulations

[https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/14\\_2004#section9.2](https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/14_2004#section9.2)

Clearcutting and Visual Quality, a Public Perception Study (Report)

[https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/visual-resource-mgmt/research-publications/vrm\\_clearcutting\\_and\\_visual\\_quality\\_a\\_public\\_perception\\_study\\_frr270\\_optimized.pdf](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/visual-resource-mgmt/research-publications/vrm_clearcutting_and_visual_quality_a_public_perception_study_frr270_optimized.pdf)

VLI Procedures & Standards Manual

[https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/visual-resource-mgmt/vli\\_procedures\\_standards\\_manual97.pdf](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/visual-resource-mgmt/vli_procedures_standards_manual97.pdf)

Government Actions Regulations

[https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/582\\_2004](https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/582_2004)

FRPA Values

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/laws-policies-standards-guidance/legislation-regulation/forest-range-practices-act/resource-values>

Government Actions Regulation - Province of British Columbia

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/laws-policies-standards-guidance/legislation-regulation/forest-range-practices-act/government-actions-regulation>